

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,271	WHITMAN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ELIZABETH HOUSTON	3731	

All Participants:

(1) ELIZABETH HOUSTON. (3) ____.

(2) Frank Sardone. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2010

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 16, 28, 39, 44 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner explained that claims 16 and 48 have allowable subject matter and discussed with applicant's attorney how to incorporate the allowable subject matter into the independent claims. Examiner suggested subject matter for claim 28 to clearly distinguish the role of the tubular insertion device.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)